	Case 3:07-cv-05627-SC	Filed 08/19/2008 Page 1 of 3			
1	Thomas P. Bleau, Esq., SBN 152945				
2	Gennady L. Lebedev, Esq., SBN 179945 BLEAU / FOX, A P.L.C.				
3	3575 Cahuenga Boulevard West, Suite 580 Los Angeles, California 90068				
4	Telephone : (323) 874-8613 Facsimile : (323) 874-1234				
5	E-mail: <u>bleaushark@aol.com</u> E-mail: <u>glebedev@bleaufox.com</u>				
6					
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defenda HOUTAN PETROLEUM, INC.	nt			
8					
9	IINITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
11	HOUTAN PETROLEUM, INC.) CASE NO. CV 07-05627 SC			
12	Plaintiff,))			
13	V.	HOUTAN PETROLEUM, INC.'S PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT FORM			
14	CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY, a Texas)			
15	Corporation and DOES 1 through 10, Inclusive				
16	Defendants.				
17		j –			
18	Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant Houtan Petroleum, Inc. ("Houtan Petroleum") proposes the following Special Verdict Form.				
19					
20					
21	Dated: August 19, 2008	BLEAU / FOX, A Professional Law Corporation			
22		By: /S/ Thomas P. Bleau .			
23		Thomas P. Bleau, Esq. Gennady L. Lebedev, Esq.			
24		Attorneys for Plaintiff, Houtan Petroleum, Inc.			
25					
26					
2728					
40					
		-1-			

SPECIAL VERDICT

	Case 3:07-cv-05627-SC	Document 139	Filed 08/19/2008	Page 2 of 3	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	HOUTAN PETROLEUM,	INC.	CASE NO. CV 07-0	95627 SC	
11	Plain	ntiff,	SPECIAL VERDIC	T FORM	
12	V.))			
13 14	CONOCOPHILLIPS COM Corporation and DOES Inclusive	IPANY, a Texas) 1 through 10,)			
15	Defe	ndants.)			
16)			
17	SPECIAL VERDICT FORM				
18 19	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence:				
20	1. That defendant and counter-plaintiff, ConocoPhillips Company ("ConocoPhillips"), has				
21	proven that its offer to sell its interest in the improvements and equipment to plaintiff and				
22	counter-defendant, Houtan Petroleum, Inc. ("Houtan Petroleum"), was a "bona fide offer"?				
23	Answer Yes or No:				
24	If you answered "Y	es" to Question No.	. 1, skip Question No. 2	2 and proceed to Question	
25	No. 3.				
26	If you answered "N	o" to Question No.	1, proceed to Question	No. 2.	
2728	·		_	nents and equipment offered	
_0			-		
		CDECIA	-1- L VERDICT		
	il —	SELCIA	L ARKDICI		

	Cas	se 3:07-cv-05627-SC Document 139 Filed 08/19/2008 Page 3 of 3
1		by ConocoPhillips to Houtan Petroleum?
2		Answer with a dollar amount: \$
3		Skip Questions Nos. 3 - 5 and have your foreperson sign and date this form below.
4	3.	That Houtan Petroleum has committed a conversion by refusing to permit ConocoPhillips to
5		recover its improvements and equipment after termination of the franchise agreement?
6		Answer Yes or No:
7		If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 3, proceed to Question No. 4.
8		If you answered "No" to Question No. 3, skip Questions Nos. 4 and 5 and have your
9		foreperson sign and date this form below.
11	<u>Δ</u>	That ConocoPhillips was damaged as a result of Houtan Petroleum's failure to permit
12	т.	
13		ConocoPhillips to recover its equipment and improvements after the franchise agreement was
14		terminated?
15		Answer Yes or No:
16		If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 4, proceed to Question No. 5.
17		If you answered "No" to Question No. 4, skip Question No. 5 and have your foreperson
18		sign and date this form below.
19	5.	What do you find to be ConocoPhillips' damages as a result of Houtan Petroleum's failure to
20		permit ConocoPhillips to recover its improvements and equipment?
21		Answer with a dollar amount: \$
22		
2324	_	Date: August, 2008
25		Foreperson
26		
27		
28		
		-2-

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM